

#### **2020 National Neurosurgery Recruitment**

#### **Structured Educational Supervisors Report**

Candidate Name	
Candidate GMC No.	

This candidate has applied for a Specialty training post(s) in Neurosurgery and has given your name as his/her Educational Supervisor. We would be very grateful if you would provide us with information requested below.

This report should comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate as a trainee and his/her potential aptitude for training in a demanding surgical specialty. This is not a personal testimonial but your objective assessment of the candidate's competencies. The report will form part of the candidate's portfolio and will be reviewed independently by two Selectors at the National Selection Centre. If the candidate is successful, your report will be made available to the candidate's future Training Programme Director and Deanery.

This report form has been developed with the General Medical Council publication "Good Medical Practice" in mind. Your attention is drawn to the GMC guidance:

# "When providing references for colleagues, your comments must be honest and justifiable; you must include all relevant information which has a bearing on the colleague's competence, performance, reliability and conduct"

(GMC Good Medical Practice, Second Edition, July 1998 – The duties of a doctor registered with the General Medical Council, Item 11 – References.)

Please note that we can only accept the report on this structured form.

Please state the dates the candidate was supervised by you:			
Date started:	Date finished:		
Position held / Grade:			
Location:			

Are you aware of this candidate being the subject of any formal disciplinary procedures?
--

YES NO If Yes, please give details:

## **NHS** Health Education England

Please give your opinion regarding the candidate's knowledge, skills and personal attributes by ticking the appropriate boxes. The descriptors for each rating are intended to provide guidance. Please use the additional space to provide information supporting your rating; this is essential if you have given a rating of D or C.

<i>Clinical Knowledge &amp; Expertise:</i> Appropriate knowledge base and capacity to apply sound clinical judgement to problems. Capacity to prioritise clinical need.					
A	В	в			
Good to excellent	Satisfactory	Weak	Cause for concern		
Comments/evidence:					
	ity to communicate clearly and ate to needs of differing situat	d effectively with others, adjus ions.	ting behaviour and language		
A 🗌	В	c	D		
Always speaks clearly, gives others time to speak and checks understanding	Usually communicates clearly, tends to use appropriate language	Can be lacking in clarity and coherence when communicating with others	Uses technical language that others do not understand, ignores what they have to say		
Comments/evidence:					
<b>Empathy &amp; Sensitivity:</b> Capa understanding.	city and motivation to take in I	patients'/colleagues' perspecti	ves and treat others with		
A 🗌	В	c	D		
Always shows empathy and sensitivity, gives reassurance to others	Usually demonstrates empathy towards others	Shows some interest in the individual and occasionally reassures others	Is not sensitive to the feelings of others and treats them in an impersonal manner		
Comments/evidence:					
<b>Professional Integrity:</b> Capacity and motivation to take responsibility for own actions. Respect for position, patients and protocol.					
A	В	c	D		
Takes full responsibility for their own actions, demonstrates respect for all	Often shows respect to others, is generally aware of ethical issues	Sometimes seeks to blame others for their actions	Does not take responsibility for their actions, does not demonstrate respect for others		
Comments/evidence:					

# **NHS** Health Education England

<b>Problem Solving &amp; Decision Making:</b> Capacity to think beyond the obvious, with analytical but flexible mind. Capacity to bring a range of approaches to problem solving and decision making.				
A	В	C 🗌	D	
Thinks beyond surface information, uses a range of problem solving strategies	Usually thinks beyond surface information, able to make decisions	Often relies on surface information, can be hesitant when making decisions	Lets assumptions guide diagnosis/decision making, does not think around issues	
Comments/evidence:				
_	ing: Capacity to organise time/ emands and deliver on time.	information in a structured an	d planned manner. Capacity to	
A 🗌	В	c	D	
Excellent at managing own time and prioritising workload	Usually able to prioritise tasks and meet deadlines	Is often late for meetings and deadlines and disorganised with paperwork etc.	Is always late for meetings/deadlines and unable to prioritise tasks	
Comments/evidence:				
	ent: Capacity and motivation to and professional development	o learn from experience, comn activities.	nits time and resources to	
A	В	c	D	
Actively seeks out constructive criticism/feedback and development opportunities	Often learns from experience, generally reacts well to constructive criticism/feedback	Needs assistance in identifying own development needs/targets	Reacts badly to constructive criticism or feedback, not interested in own development	
Comments/evidence:				
Managing Others & To leadership when appro		work effectively in partnership	with others and demonstrate	
A 🗌	В	c	D	
Is excellent at supporting and motivating others, able to lead when appropriate	Recognises contribution of others, usually able to compromise	Tends to take a 'back seat' rather than participating, reluctant to lead	Sticks rigidly to their own agenda, critical of others' ideas	
Comments/evidence:				
<i>Working under Pressure:</i> Capacity to work effectively under pressure, remaining calm and objective. Demonstrates initiative and resilience to cope with setbacks and rapidly changing circumstances.				
A	В	c	D	
Always remains calm under pressure, rapidly adapts to changing situations	Often recognises when to share workload, able to cope with changing circumstances	Finds it difficult to remain calm under pressure or to switch off after work	Loses temper easily, refuses to share workload, unable to adapt to change	

Was the candidate's attendance/timekeeping satisfactory?

YES NO If No, please give details

Would you be happy to work with this candidate again?	YES NO
Comments/evidence	

Recommendation of candidate for training in neurosurgery?					
Strongly without reservation		□ A	Would have some reservations		□ c
Could recommend as competent		В	Could not recommend for training		D
If you have any other comments regarding this candidate's application for training in neurosurgery, please give details here:					
SIGNATURE			<b>NAME</b> (print in block capitals)		
POSITION HELD		CONTACT TELEPHONE NO.			
Name of deanery/ hospital/practice/ organisation			DATE		

### Please return this report to the trainee to add to their portfolio